



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/081,466	02/22/2002	Joseph Gredone	I-2-0201.1US	2915
24374	7590 08/12/2003			
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600			EXAMINER	
			ELAMIN, ABDELMONIEM I	
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2182	3
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary



Application No. 10/081.466

Applicant(s)

Gredone et al

Examiner

Abdelmoniem Elamin

Art Unit 2182



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 22, 2002 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) ______ is/are allowed. 6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. is/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Page 2

Application/Control Nambour: 10/081,466

Art Unit: 2782

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded

in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise

extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple

assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759

F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418

F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CAR 1.321© may be used to

overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application.

See 37 CAR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal

disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CAR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-37 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-

type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of copending Application No.

09/990,060. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from

Art Unit: 2782

each other because they recite means or steps that are substantially the same and that would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 3. Claims 1, 4, 7, 15, 23, 26 and 32, essentially repeat most of the features listed in claims 1,4, 18, 26, 34, 40 and 46 of the copending Application No. 09/990,060. With the exception of the trivial difference of one saying a hybrid serial/parallel bus interface for a base station and the other saying a hybrid serial/parallel bus interface.
- 4. Claims 2-3 of the instant application are identical to claims 2-3 of the copending application.
- 5. Claims 24-25 of the instant application are identical to claims 35-36 of the copending application.
- 6. Claims 27-31 of the instant application are identical to claims 41-45 of the copending application.
- 7. Claims 33-37 of the instant application are identical to claims 47-51 of the copending application.
- 8. Claims 6, 16 & 26 of the instant application are identical to claims 6, 16 & 26 of the copending application.

Application/Control Nambour: 10/081,466

Art Unit: 2782

Page 4

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Abdelmoniem I. Elamin whose telephone number is (703) 305-3804. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached at (703)308-3301.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to

the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239

(Official)

(703) 746-7240

(Non-Official/Draft)

(703) 746-7238

(After-final)

Art Unit: 2782

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, Forth Floor (receptionist).

Respectfully Submitted

Abdelmoniem I. Elamin

Art Unit 2182

August 7, 2003